

March 30, 2022

Our firm represented Clal and Phoenix in an appeal that overturned the non-adjudication of expenses.

News

Our firm represented **Clal** and **Phoenix** in an appeal filed with the Supreme Court over a ruling that denied an application for the approval of a class-action lawsuit that claimed that insurance companies market and sell health insurance policies that include coverage that is already included in the additional health services of Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs). This causes the doubling of insurance and excessive payments in the astronomical range of **NIS 4.5 billion**. Our clients filed a counter-appeal for non-adjudication of expenses in their favor under the ruling that denied the application for approval of the class-action lawsuit. After a hearing in the Supreme Court and upon the recommendation of the panel of judges, the appellants withdrew the appeal in view of the absence of a ground for intervention. The counter-appeal for non-adjudication of costs was upheld, and significant costs were awarded against the appellants.

Clal and Phoenix were represented by Partner **Noam Ronen** together with Senior Associate **Daniel Barlev**.

Key Contacts



Noam Ronen
Partner



Daniel Barlev
Partner